Amendment to H.Con.Res._____, As Reported

Offered by Mr. Toomey of Pennsylvania

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

	THE PART OF THE PART
1	SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR
2	FISCAL YEAR 2002.
3	The Congress declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget
4	for fiscal year 2004 is hereby established and that the appropriate
5	budgetary levels for fiscal years 2003 and 2005 through 2013 are hereby
6	set forth.
7	TITLE I - RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS
8	SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS
9	The following budgetary levels are appropriate for each of fiscal
10	years 2003 through 2013:
11	(2) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For the purpose of enforcement of this
12	resolution:
13	(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:
14	Fiscal Year 2003: \$1,323,729,000,000

	H.L.C.
2	

4	Fiscal	year	2004:
1		<u>.</u>	
2	\$ 1,3 10,1	38,000,000	0005
3	Fiscal	year	2005:
4	\$ 1,504, 2	267,000,000	
5	Fiscal	year	2006:
6	\$ 1,642,°	729,000,000	
7	Fiscal	year	2007:
8	\$_1,768,	142, 000,000	
9	Fiscal	year	2008:
10	\$ 1, 872,	740,000,000	
11	Fiscal	year	2009:
12	\$ <i>1,985,3</i>	3 <i>85,000,000</i>	
13	Fiscal	year	2010:
14	\$ 2 ,095,	<u>867,000,000</u>	;
15	Fiscal	year	2011:
16	\$ <u>2,198,</u>	796,000,000	
17	Fiscal	year	2012:
18	\$ <u>2,324,</u>	<u>426,000,000</u>	
19	Fiscal	year	2013:
20	\$ 2, 460	0,635,000,00	0
21		ounts by which the	
22	levels of Federal	revenues should be 1	reduced are
23	as follows:		
24	Fiscal	year	2003:
25	<u>\$ 36,105</u>	5,000,000	

1	Fiscal	year	2004:
2	\$ 126,232,	000,000	
3	Fiscal	year	2005:
4	\$ 112, 759,	000,000	
5	Fiscal	year	2006:
6	\$ 97,943, G	000,000	
7	Fiscal	year	2007:
8	\$ 85, 0 24,	000,000	
9	Fiscal	year	2008:
10	\$ 90,237,C	000,000	
11	Fiscal	year	2009:
12	\$ <u>92,945</u>	,000,000	
13	Fiscal	year	2010:
14	\$ 97,175,C	000 ₁ .000	
15	Fiscal	year	2011:
16	\$ <u>228,70</u>	<u>0,0</u> 00,000	
17	Fiscal	year	2012:
18	\$ <u>325,3</u> 5	<u>3,000,000</u>	2
19	Fiscal	year	2013:
20	\$ <u>343,5</u>	75,000,00	<i>-</i>
21	(2) NEW BUDGET		
22	of the enforcement of the	is resolution, the	ne appropriate
23	levels of total new budg	get authority a	are as follows:
24	Fiscal year 20	03: \$ 1, 77	0,046,000,000 096,000,000
25	Fiscal year 20	04: \$/ <u>, 8</u> // _,	076,000,000

H.L.C.

	1,000, 755,000,000
1	Fiscal year 2005: \$
2	Fiscal year 2006: \$ 1, 961, 833, 000, 000
3	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 2, 619, 225,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 2,072, 926,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 2, 144, 679,000,000
6	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 2,209,760,000,000
7	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 2, 297, 614,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2012: \$_2,371,644,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 2, 463, 897, 000, 000
10	(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the
11	enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels
12	of total budget outlays are as follows:
13	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 1,776,895,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 1,824, 619, 000,000
15	Fiscal year 2005: \$1,880, 352, 000, 000
16	Fiscal year 2006: \$ 1,931,043,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 1,979,840,000,000
18	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 2,033,924,000,000
19	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 2, 110, 335, 000, 000
20	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 2,178,746,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 2, 272, 784, 000, 000
22	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 2,333,379,000,000
23	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 2,433,558,000,000

ک سز

1	(4) Deficits (on-budget).—For purposes of
2	the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of
3	the deficits (on-budget) are as follows:
4	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 453, 166, 000,000
5	Fiscal year 2004: \$ <u>484, 481, 000, 000</u>
6	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 376, 085, 000,000
7	Fiscal year 2006: \$ 288, 314,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 211, 698,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2008: \$_161,184,000,000
10	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 124, 950, 000,000
11	Fiscal year 2010: \$82,879,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 73, 988,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 8, 953, 000, 000
14	Fiscal year 2013: \$ -27,077,000,000
15	(5) Debt subject to limit.—Pursuant to
16	section 301(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act
17	of 1974, the appropriate levels of the public debt are
18	as follows:
19	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 6,687,000,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 7, 242, 000,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 7, 740, 000,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2006: \$ <u>8, 203, 000, 0</u> 00,000
23	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 8,636,000,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 9, 647,000, 660,606
25	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 9, 462, 000, 000

1	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 9,856,000,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 10, 266, 600,000,000
3	Fiscal year 2012: \$_10,643,000,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2013: \$_11,010,000,000,000
5	(6) Debt Held by the Public.—The appro-
6	priate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:
7	Fiscal year 2003: \$ 3,858,000,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 4, 157,000,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 4, 362,000,000,000
10	Fiscal year 2006: \$ 4, 498, 000, 000, 000
11	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 4,579,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 4,615,000,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 4,631,000,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 4,604,000,000,000
15	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 4,569,060,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 4, 480,000,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 4,364,000,000,000
18	SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
19	The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
20	propriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for
21	fiscal years 2003 through 2013 for each major functional
22	category are:
23	(1) National Defense (050):
24	Fiscal year 2003:

I. (CAS (REPORTS (BUDZ004.R))



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$392,494,0			
3	(B) O	utlays, \$3	86,229,000	,000.
4	Fiscal year	2004:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$400,546,			
7	(B) C)utlays, \$4	400,916,000),000.
8	Fiscal yea	r 2005:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$420,071	,000,000.		
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	414,237,00	0,000.
12	Fiscal year	ar 2006:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14		5,000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays, (\$426,011,00	00,000.
16		ear 2007:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		5,000,000		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$438,656,0	00,000.
20	Fiscal y	ear 2008:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22		36,000,000		
23	(B) Outlays,	\$462,861,0	000,000.
24	Fiscal y	_{vear} 2009		



REPURIS \BUDZOU4.RH



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$494,067,0)00,000.		
3	(B) O	utlays, \$4	80,650,000,	,000.
4	Fiscal year	2010:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$507,840,	000,000.		
7	(B) C)utlays, \$4	497,348,000),000.
8	Fiscal yea	r 2011:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$522,103			
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	516,338,000),000.
12	Fiscal yea	ar 2012:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14		,000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$523,884,00	0,000.
16	Fiscal ye	ar 2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		3,000,000		
19			\$543,541,00	00,000.
20	(2) Internation	onal Affair	rs (150):	
21	Fiscal ye	ear 2003:		
22	,	New	budget	authority,
23		,000,000.		- 000
24			\$19,283,00	0,000.
25	Fiscal y	ear 2004:		



1	(A) New budget auth	nority,
2	\$24,747,000,000.	
3	(B) Outlays, \$23,676,000,000.	
4	Fiscal year 2005:	
5	(A) New budget aut	hority,
6	\$28,626,000,000.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$24,128,000,000.	
8	Fiscal year 2006:	
9	(A) New budget au	thority,
10	\$31,082,000,000.	
11	(B) Outlays, \$25,608,000,000.	
12	Fiscal year 2007:	
13	(A) New budget au	uthority,
14	\$32,262,000,000.	
15	(B) Outlays, \$27,409,000,000	
16	Fiscal year 2008:	
17	(A) New budget a	uthority,
18	\$33,107,000,000.	
19	(B) Outlays, \$28,389,000,000).
20	Fiscal year 2009:	
21	(A) New budget	authority,
22	\$33,759,000,000.	0
23	(B) Outlays, \$29,398,000,00	U.
24	Fiscal year 2010:	



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$34,445,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$30,221,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2011:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$35,294,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$31,065,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2012:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$36,128,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$31,873,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2013:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$36,985,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$32,737,000,000.
16	(3) General Science, Space, and Technology
17	(250):
18	Fiscal year 2003:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$23,153,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$21,556,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2004:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$22,771,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$22,348,000,000.



1	Fiscal year 2005:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$23,591,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$23,082,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2006:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$24,344,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$23,690,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2007:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$25,153,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$24,425,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2008:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$25,899,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$25,127,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$26,503,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$25,799,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2010:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$27,140,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$26,435,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2011:





	(A) New budget authority,
1	(A) NCW $DADS$
2	\$27,800,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$27,079,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$28,464,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$27,735,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$29,134,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$28,393,000,000.
12	(4) Energy (270):
13	Fiscal year 2003:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$2,074,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$439,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2004:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$2,583,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$929,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2005:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$2,707,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$962,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2006:



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$2,609,000	0,000.		
3	(B) C	utlays, \$1)	,245,000,00	00.
4	Fiscal yea	r 2007:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$2,431,00	0,000.		
7	(B) (Outlays, \$	1,023,000,00	00.
8	Fiscal yea	ar 2008:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$2,988,00	00,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays, \$	1,402,000,C	00.
12	Fiscal year	ar 2009:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$2,977,0			
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$1,663,000,0	000.
16	Fiscal ye	ear 2010:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$3,085,0			
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$1,784,000,	000.
20	Fiscal y	ear 2011:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$3,182,0	000,000.		
23	(B)) Outlays,	\$1,957,000	,000.



EXCASTREPORTS (BUDZUUT.RI)



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$3,289,000),000.		
3	(B) C	outlays, \$2	,319,000,00	00.
4	Fiscal year	r 2013:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$3,402,00			
7			2,295,000,0	
8	(5) Natural R	esources a	and Environ	ment (300):
9	Fiscal yea			
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$30,816,0			
12	(B)	Outlays, \$	328,940,000	,000.
13	Fiscal ye	ear 2004:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15		,000,000.		
16	(B)	Outlays,	\$29,866,000),000.
17	Fiscal y	ear 2005:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19),000,000.		
20	(B)) Outlays,	\$30,274,00	0,000.
21	Fiscal y	_{vear} 2006:		
22	(A) New	budget	authority,
23		0,000,000		
24	(B	B) Outlays	, \$31,199,00	00,000.
25	Fiscal	year 2007	:	



/5 X

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$31,448,00	0,000.		
3	(B) O	utlays, \$3	1,331,000,0	000.
4	Fiscal year	2008:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$32,224,00	00,000.		
7	(B) C	outlays, \$3	31,706,000,	000.
8	Fiscal yea	r 2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget'	authority,
10	\$33,454,0	00,000.		
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	32,835,000	,000.
12	Fiscal yea	ar 2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$34,421,0	000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$33,757,000),000.
16	Fiscal ye	ar 2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$35,427,	000,000.		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$34,741,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal ye	ear 2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$36,343	,000,000.		
23	(B)	Outlays,	\$35,615,00	0,000.
24	Fiscal y	ear 2013:		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$37,240,00	00,000.		
3	(B) C	utlays, \$3)	6,590,000,0	000.
4	(6) Agriculture	(350):		
5	Fiscal yea	r 2003:		
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$24,418,0			
8	(B) (Outlays, \$7	23,365,000,	000.
9	Fiscal yea	ar 2004:		
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$23,966,0			
12	(B)	Outlays, \$	23,356,000	,000.
13	Fiscal ye	ar 2005:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15		000,000.		
16	(B)	Outlays, S	\$25,194,000),000.
17	Fiscal ye	ear 2006:		
18	(A)		budget	authority,
19		,000,000.		
20	(B)	Outlays,	\$24,987,00	0,000.
21	Fiscal y	ear 2007:		
22	` ′) New		authority,
23		3,000,000.		- 000
24	(B) Outlays,	\$24,415,00)(),()()().
25	Fiscal y	year 2008:		



THE STREET ORTS ABOUT THE



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$24,035,00			
3	(B) O	utlays, \$2	3,523,000,C)00.
4	Fiscal year			
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$24,239,0			
7	(B) C)utlays, \$2	24,066,000,	000.
8	Fiscal yea			
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$23,427,0			
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	23,496,000	,000.
12	Fiscal year			
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$22,985,000,000.			
15	(B)	Outlays, S	323,002,000),000.
16	Fiscal ye	ar 2012:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		,000,000.		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$22,627,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal ye	ear 2013:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22		,000,000.		
23			\$22,388,00	
24	(7) Commerc	ce and Ho	using Credi	t (370):
25	Fiscal y	ear 2003:		



1	(A) New budget authority,	
2	\$8,812,000,000.	
3	(B) Outlays, \$5,881,000,000.	
4	Fiscal year 2004:	
5	(A) New budget authority,	
6	\$7,401,000,000.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$3,587,000,000.	
8	Fiscal year 2005:	
9	(A) New budget authority,	
10	\$8,633,000,000.	
11	(B) Outlays, \$4,059,000,000.	
12	Fiscal year 2006:	
13	(A) New budget authority,	ı
14	\$8,145,000,000.	
15	(B) Outlays, \$3,130,000,000.	
16	Fiscal year 2007:	
17	(A) New budget authority	,
18	\$9,166,000,000.	
19	(B) Outlays, \$3,365,000,000.	
20	Fiscal year 2008:	
21	(A) New budget authority	√,
22	\$8,628,000,000.	
23	(B) Outlays, \$2,355,000,000.	
24	Fiscal year 2009:	





	(A) New budget authority,		
1	\$8,763,000,000.		
2	(B) Outlays, \$2,486,000,000.		
3			
4	Fiscal year 2010: (A) New budget authority,		
5	(A) INEW BACKS		
6	\$8,737,000,000.		
7	(B) Outlays, \$2,208,000,000.		
8	Fiscal year 2011:		
9	(A) New budget authority,		
10	\$8,939,000,000.		
11	(B) Outlays, \$1,858,000,000.		
12	Fiscal year 2012:		
13	(A) New budget authority,		
14	\$9,029,000,000.		
15	(B) Outlays, \$1,610,000,000.		
16	Fiscal year 2013:		
17	(A) New budget authority,		
18	\$9,247,000,000.		
19	(B) Outlays, \$1,840,000,000.		
20	(8) Transportation (400):		
21	Fiscal year 2003:		
22	(A) New budget authority,		
23	\$64,091,000,000.		
23	(B) Outlays, \$67,847,000,000.		
	Fiscal year 2004:		
25	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		





	() \	NT	budget	authority,
1		New	Duager	3
2	\$65,416,00			
3	(B) C)utlays, \$'	73,832,000,0	,00.
4	Fiscal yea	r 2005:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$65,785,0			
7	(B)	Outlays, \$	69,861,000,	000.
8	Fiscal ye			
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10		000,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays,	\$68,369,000),000.
12	Fiscal ye	ear 2007:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$67,693	,000,000.		
15	(B)) Outlays,	\$68,293,00	0,000.
16	Fiscal y	_{/ear} 2008		
17) Nev		authority,
18	\$68,64	7,000,000).	
19			s, \$68,700,00	00,000.
20	Fiscal	year 2009	9:	
		4) Ne		t authority,
21	•	25,000,00		
22			rs, \$69,604,0	000,000.
23		year 201		
24	r iscai	year 201	-	



	(A) New budget authority,
1	
2	\$71,016,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$71,021,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2011:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$72,723,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$72,573,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2012:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$74,432,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$74,228,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2013:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$76,218,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$75,924,000,000.
16	(9) Community and Regional Development
17	(450):
18	Fiscal year 2003:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$12,251,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$15,994,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2004:
23	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$14,137,000,000.
	(B) Outlays, \$15,923,000,000.
25	\- / ·



22 X

1	Fiscal year	2005:		
2	(A)	New	budget	authority,
3	\$14,355,00			
4	(B) O	utlays, \$1	5,990,000,0)00.
5	Fiscal year	2006:		
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$14,647,0			
8	(B) ()utlays, \$1	15,120,000,	000.
9	Fiscal yea			
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$14,968,0			
12	(B)	Outlays, \$	14,918,000	,000.
13	Fiscal year	ar 2008:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15	\$15,350,			
16	(B)	Outlays, S	\$14,500,000),000.
17		ear 2009:		
18		New	budget	authority,
19		,000,000.		- 000
20	(B)	Outlays,	\$14,802,00	0,000.
21	Fiscal y	ear 2010:		4
22	(A)		budget	authority,
23		5,000,000.		20.000
24			\$15,146,00	JU,UUU.
25	Fiscal y	vear 2011:		



TCAS\REPURTS\BUDZUU4:RH

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$16,467,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$15,524,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$16,857,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$15,892,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$17,255,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$16,288,000,000.
12	(10) Education, Training, Employment, and
13	Social Services (500):
14	Fiscal year 2003:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$86,169,000,000 <i>.</i>
17	(B) Outlays, \$81,340,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2004:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$84,744,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$85,702,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2005:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$84,376,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$83,593,000,000.



COTRE OKTS (DUDZOU4.RIP



	Fiscal year 2006:
1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$86,663,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$84,632,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2007:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$88,640,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$86,408,000,000.
8	
9	Fiscal year 2008: (A) New budget authority,
10	(1 1)
11	\$90,799,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$88,343,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2009:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$92,377,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$90,470,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2010:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$93,915,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$92,151,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2011:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$95,812,000,000.
	(B) Outlays, \$93,918,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2012:
25	1 1000-)



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$97,615,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$95,694,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$99,516,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$97,583,000,000.
8	(11) Health (550):
9	Fiscal year 2003:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$221,878,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$218,021,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$235,033,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$235,408,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$248,561,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$248,255,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$265,324,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$264,811,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:



THEASTREE OF THE BUBBOOK AND



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$284,054,000,000.			
3	(B) O	utlays, \$2	283,181,000	,000.
4	Fiscal year	2008:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$303,513,0)00,000.		
7	(B) C	outlays, \$3	302,371,000),000.
8	Fiscal year	r 2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$323,793,	000,000.		
11	(B) ()utlays, \$	322,510,000	0,000.
12	Fiscal yea	ar 2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$345,221			
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$343,935,00	0,000.
16	Fiscal year	ar 2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		2,000,000		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$368,888,00	00,000.
20	Fiscal ye	ear 2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22		8,000,000		
23	(B)	Outlays,	\$393,580,0	00,000.
24	Fiscal y	ear 2013:		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(A) \$370,172 (B) Fiscal ye (A) \$394,83 (B)	New 2,000,000 Outlays, ear 2012: New 8,000,000 Outlays,	\$368,888,00 budget). \$393,580,0	00,000. authority,



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$423,165,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$421,858,000,000.
4	(12) Medicare (570):
5	Fiscal year 2003:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$248,586,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$248,434,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2004:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$261,298,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$261,621,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2005:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$275,475,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$278,402,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$312,447,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$309,300,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$332,020,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$332,299,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2008:



T. CASTREPORTS BUDZOU4.KA



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$352,392,000,000.			
3	(B) C	outlays, \$3	352,287,000	,000.
4	Fiscal year	r 2009:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$372,165,			
7	(B) (Outlays, \$	371,929,000),000.
8	Fiscal yea	ar 2010:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10		2,000,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays,	\$392,309,00	0,000.
12	Fiscal ye	ar 2011:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14		1,000,000		
15	(B)	Outlays,	\$423,880,00)(),()()().
16		ear 2012:		1
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		5,000,00		
19	(B)) Outlays	, \$450,312,0)00,000.
20	Fiscal y	_{year} 2013		
21	(A) Nev	v budget	authority,
22		97,000,00		
23			s, \$490,754,	000,000.
24	(13) Income	e Security	(600):	
25	Fiscal	year 2003	3:	



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$326,588,0)00,000.		
3	(B) O	utlays, \$3	34,373,000	,000.
4	Fiscal year	2004:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$315,939,	000,000.		
7	(B) (Outlays, \$	321,576,000),000.
8	Fiscal yea	r 2005:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$326,452	,000,000.		
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	329,892,00	0,000.
12	Fiscal year	ar 2006:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14		,000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays,	\$334,883,00	00,000.
16	Fiscal ye	ear 2007:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		5,000,000		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$339,157,0	00,000.
20	Fiscal y	ear 2008:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22)4,000,000		
23	(B)) Outlays,	\$347,149,0	.000,000
24	Fiscal y	_{vear} 2009		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$354,493,0			
3	(B) O	utlays, \$3	55,411,000	,000.
4	Fiscal year	2010:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$362,278,			
7	(B) C)utlays, \$3	363,059,000),000.
8	Fiscal yea	r 2011:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$376,326			
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	377,070,00	0,000.
12	Fiscal year			
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$379,667,000,000.			
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$380,403,00	00,000.
16	Fiscal ye	ear 2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18		4,000,000		000
19			\$394,420,0	00,000.
20	(14) Social S	ecurity (6	550):	
21	Fiscal y	ear 2003:		
22		New		authority,
23		5,000,000.		- 000
24	(B)) Outlays,	\$13,255,00)0,000.
25	Fiscal y	vear 2004:		



CASTREPORTS DUDZOU4.RIP

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$14,223,00	00,000.		
3	(B) C	outlays, \$1	14,222,000,0	000.
4	Fiscal yea	r 2005:		
5			budget	authority,
6	\$15,330,0	00,000.		
7	(B) (Dutlays, \$	15,330,000,	000.
8	Fiscal yea	ar 2006:		
9			budget	authority,
10	\$16,451,0	000,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays, §	\$16,451,000	,000.
12	Fiscal ye	ar 2007:		
13		New	budget	authority,
14	\$17,975,	000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays,	\$17,975,000),000.
16	Fiscal ye	ear 2008:		
17		New	budget	authority,
18	\$19,827	,000,000.		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$19,827,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal y	ear 2009:		
21	(A)			authority,
22	\$21,982	2,000,000.		
23			\$21,982,00	00,000.
24		vear 2010		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$24,357,0	00,000.		
3	(B) C)utlays, \$2	24,357,000,0)00.
4	Fiscal yea	r 2011:		
5			budget	authority,
6	\$28,235,0	000,000.		
7	(B) (Outlays, \$	28,235,000,	000.
8	Fiscal yea	ar 2012:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$31,450,0	000,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays, §	31,450,000	,000.
12	Fiscal ye	ar 2013:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$34,481,	000,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays,	\$34,481,000),000.
16	(15) Veterans	s Benefits	and Service	es (700):
17	Fiscal ye	ear 2003:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19		,000,000.		
20	(B)	Outlays,	\$57,486,00	0,000.
21	Fiscal y	ear 2004:		
22	(A)) New	budget	authority,
23		000,000,0		
24	(B) Outlays	, \$60,692,00	00,000.
25	Fiscal	_{year} 2005	:	



1	(A) Nev	w budget	authority,
2	\$65,827,000,000	Э.	
3	(B) Outlay	s, \$65,329,000,0	000.
4	Fiscal year 200	6:	
5	(A) Ne	ew budget	authority,
6	\$63,976,000,00	00.	
7	(B) Outlay	ys, \$63,720,000	,000.
8	Fiscal year 200)7:	
9	(A) N	ew budget	authority,
10	\$62,320,000,0	00.	
11	(B) Outla	ys, \$62,014,000),000.
12	Fiscal year 20	08:	
13	(A) N	Jew budget	authority,
14	\$65,655,000,C		
15	(B) Outla	ays, \$65,502,00	0,000.
16	Fiscal year 20)09:	
17	(A)	New budget	authority,
18	\$66,888,000,		
19	(B) Outl	lays, \$66.644,00)0,000.
20	Fiscal year 2	010:	
21	(A)	New budget	authority,
22	\$68,158,000		
23	(B) Out	lays, \$67,874,0	00,000.
24	Fiscal year 2	2011:	



1	(A) Nev	w budget	authority,
2	\$72,646,000,000	Э.	
3		s, \$72,350,000,	000.
4	Fiscal year 201		
5	(A) Ne	_	authority,
6	\$69,805,000,00	00.	
7		ys, \$69,416,000),000.
8	Fiscal year 20	13:	
9	(A) N		authority,
10	\$74,452,000,0	00.	
11	(B) Outla	nys, \$74,132,00	0,000.
12	(16) Administratio	n of Justice (75	50):
13	Fiscal year 20		
14	(A)	New budget	authority,
15	\$38,543,000,0)00.	
16	(B) Outl	ays, \$37,712,00	00,000.
17	Fiscal year 2	004:	
18	(A)	New budge	t authority,
19	\$37,310,000		
20	(B) Out	lays, \$40,895,0	000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2	2005:	
22	(A)	New budge	et authority,
23	\$37,673,000		
24	(B) Ou	tlays, \$39,003,	000,000.
25	Fiscal year	2006:	



1	(A) New budget	authority,
	\$37,581,000,000.	
2	(B) Outlays, \$38,026,000),000.
<i>3</i>	Fiscal year 2007:	
5	(A) New budget	authority,
6	\$37,963,000,000.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$37,859,00)0,000.
8	Fiscal year 2008:	
	(A) New budge	t authority,
9	\$38,880,000,000.	
10	(B) Outlays, \$38,633,0	000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2009:	
12 13	(A) New budge	et authority,
13	\$39,839,000,000.	
	(B) Outlays, \$39,662,	000,000.
15 16	Fiscal year 2010:	
		get authority,
17	\$40,884,000,000.	
18 19	(B) Outlays, \$40,696	5,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2011:	
		lget authority,
21	\$42,152,000,000.	
22	(B) Outlays, \$41,84	7,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2012:	
24		



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$43,451,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$43,124,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$44,800,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$44,464,000,000.
8	(17) General Government (800):
9	Fiscal year 2003:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$18,178,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$18,103,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$19,768,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$19,586,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$20,025,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$20,213,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$19,654,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$19,713,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:



T. CHS KEI UKTS BUDZOU4.RH



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$19,955,00	0,000.		
3	(B) O	utlays, \$1	9,716,000,0	000.
4	Fiscal year	2008:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$19,760,00			
7	(B) O	utlays, \$1	19,552,000,0	000.
8	Fiscal year	r 2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$20,168,0			
11	(B) (Outlays, \$	19,761,000	,000.
12	Fiscal yea	ar 2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$20,572,0			
15	(B)	Outlays, S	\$20,127,000),000.
16	Fiscal year	ar 2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$21,294,			
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$20,826,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal ye	ear 2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22		,000,000.		
23	(B)	Outlays,	\$21,700,00)(),()()().
24	Fiscal y	ear 2013:		



- 38

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 22,829,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$_22,323,000,000
4	(18) Net Interest (900):
5	Fiscal year 2003:
	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 239,741,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 239, 741, 000,000
8	Fiscal year 2004:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 256, 367, 000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 256,367, 000,000
12	
13	Fiscal year 2005: Now budget authority,
14	(A) New States
15	\$ 301,918,000,000
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>301,918,000,000</u>
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 336,172,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 336, 172, 000, 000
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>355,442,000,</u> 000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 355, 442, 000,000
25	Fiscal year 2008:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 368,985,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>368</u> , 985, 000, 000
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 379,976,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 379,976,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2010:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 387,382,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>387,382,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2011:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>394,728,000,</u> 000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 394, 728, 000, 000
16	Fiscal year 2012:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 401, 288, 000, 000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>401, 288, 009, 006</u>
20	Fiscal year 2013:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ 403,084,000,000
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 403, 084, 000, 000
24	(19) Allowances (920):
25	Fiscal year 2003:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$
3	(B) Outlays, \$
4	Fiscal year 2004:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ ⁻ 25,986,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ -26,781,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2005:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>-58, 705,000,</u> 000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>61,030,000,0</u> 00
12	Fiscal year 2006:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>7/03, 450, 00</u> 0, 000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-106, 165, 000, 000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2007:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>134,939,000,000</u>
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-/37,938,000,</u> 000
20	Fiscal year 2008:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ -172,108,000,000
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-174, 839, 00</u> 0, 000
24	Fiscal year 2009:

(A) New budget authority.
s-184,626,000,000
(B) Outlays. \$ <u>-187,639,000,000</u>
Fiscal year 2010:
(A) New budget authority,
\$ <u>-197,329,000,000</u>
(B) Outlays, \$ -200,631,000,000
Fiscal year 2011:
(A) New budget authority,
\$ <u>-213,132,000,000</u>
(B) Outlays, \$ - 216, 859,000,006
Fiscal year 2012:
(A) New budget authority,
\$ - 227,647,000,000
(B) Outlays, \$ -230, 857,000,000
Fiscal year 2013:
(A) New budget authority,
\$ -244,708,000,000
(B) Outlays, \$ -248,504,000,000

1	(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
2	Fiscal year 2003:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	-\$41,104,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, -\$41,104,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2004:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	-\$42,894,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, -\$42,894,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2005:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	- \$52,598,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, -\$52,598,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2006:
15	(A) New budget authority
16	- \$54,459,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, -\$54,459,000,000.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	43
1	Fiscal year 2007:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	- \$51,535,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, -\$51,535,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2008:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	- \$53,540,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, -\$53,540,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2009:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	- \$52,609,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, $-$52,609,000,000$.
13	Fiscal year 2010:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	- \$54,685,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, -\$54,685,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2011:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	- \$56,841,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, -\$56,841,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2012:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	- \$59,025,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, —\$59,025,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2013:



ENCAS DEPORTS DUDONA PH

44

1 (A) New budget authority, 2 -\$61,229,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$61,229,000,000.



TITLE II—RECONCILIATION

1

	SEC. 201. RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENT-
2	SEC. 201. RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE
3	ATIVES.
4	(a) Submission Providing for Economic
5	GROWTH AND TAX SIMPLIFICATION AND FAIRNESS.—
6	(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 11,
7	2003, the House committees named in paragraph
8	(2) shall submit their recommendations to the House
9	Committee on the Budget. After receiving those rec-
10	ommendations, the House Committee on the Budget

×46

1	shall report to the House a reconciliation bill car-
2	rying out all such recommendations without any sub-
3	stantive revision.
	(2) Instructions.—
4	(A) COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.—
5	The House Committee on Ways and Means
6	shall report changes in law within its jurisdic-
7	tion sufficient to—
8	(1) reduce the total level of revenues
9	33,420,000,000
10	by not more than: \$_4_ 101 has \$512,195,000,000 \$126,232,000,000 \$512,195,000,000 \$2003, \$ for fiscal year 2004, \$
11	2003, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ for fiscal year 2004, $\frac{\pi}{2}$
12	for the period of fiscal years 2004 through
13	2008, and \$ for the period of fiscal
14	years 2004 through 2013; and
15	(2) increase the level of direct spend- 4,380,000,000
16	ing for that committee by $\frac{4,380,000,000}{1,111,000,000}$
17	for fiscal year 2003, \$ in outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$ in outlays for the
18	fiscal year 2004, \$ in outlays for the
19	period of fiscal years 2004 through 2008,
20	23,096,000,000 and \$ in outlays for the period of fis-
21	cal years 2004 through 2013.
22	(B) COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE
23	WORKFORCE.—The House Committee on Edu-
24	cation and the Workforce shall report changes
25	in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to in-

	crease the level of direct spending for that com-
1	crease the level of direct spending 3,600,000,000
2	mittee by \$ in new budget authority
3	fiscal year 2003 and outlays flowing therefrom.
Λ	(b) Submissions Providing for the Elimination
5 OF	Waste, Fraud, and Abuse in Mandatory Pro-
6 GR	AMS.—
7	(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than July 18,
8	2003, the House committees named in paragraph
	(2) shall submit their recommendations to the House
9	Committee on the Budget. After receiving those rec-
10	ommendations, the House Committee on the Budget
11	ommendations, the House commendation bill car-
12	shall report to the House a reconciliation bill car-
13	rying out all such recommendations without any sub-
14	stantive revision.
15	(2) Instructions.—
16	(A) COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE.—The
	House Committee on Agriculture shall report
17	changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient
18	changes in laws within 1999
19	to reduce the level of direct spending for that 1,409,000,000 committee by \$ in outlays for fiscal year
20	committee by \$ in outlays for the period of fiscal
21	2004, \$ III Oddays 101 50,718,000,000
22	years 2004 through 2008, and \$ in outlays
23	for the period of fiscal years 2004 through
24	2013.

#48

	•
1	(B) COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE
2	WORKFORCE.—The House Committee on Edu-
3	cation and the Workforce shall report changes
4	in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to re-
5	duce the level of direct spending for that com-
6	mittee by \$ in outlays for fiscal year 2004,
7	8,276,000,000 \$ in outlays for the period of fiscal years
8	25,665,000,000 2004 through 2008, and \$ in outlays for
9	the period of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.
10	(C) COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COM-
11	MERCE.—The House Committee on Energy and
12	Commerce shall report changes in laws within
13	its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of
14	direct spending for that committee by \$ in
15	outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$ m outlays
16	for the period of fiscal years 2004 through
17	292,506,000,000 2008, and \$ in outlays for the period of
18	fiscal years 2004 through 2013.
19	(D) COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERV-
20	ICES.—The House Committee on Financial
21	Services shall report changes in laws within its
22	jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of di-
23	rect spending for that committee by \$ in
24	new budget authority for fiscal year 2004,
2.5	390,000,000 \$ in new budget authority for the period of





	381,000,000
1	381,000,000 fiscal years 2004 through 2008, and \$ in
2	new budget authority for the period of fiscal
3	years 2004 through 2013.
4	(E) COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT RE-
5	FORM.—The House Committee on Government
6	Reform shall report changes in laws within its
7	grainst to reduce the level of di-
8	ing for that committee by \$in
9	outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$ in outlays
10	for the period of fiscal years 2004 through
11	for the period of fiscal years for the period of 2008, and \$ in outlays for the period of
12	fiscal years 2004 through 2013.
13	(F) COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRA-
14	TION.—The House Committee on House Ad-
15	ministration shall report changes in laws within
16	its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of
17	direct spending for that committee by \$ in 82,000,000
18	outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$ in outlays
19	for the period of fiscal years 2004 through
20	2008, and \$ in outlays for the period of
21	fiscal years 2004 through 2013.
22	(G) COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL RE-
23	LATIONS.—The House Committee on Inter-
24	national Relations shall report changes in laws
25	within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the





1	level of direct spending for that committee by 4,124,000,000	
2	16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
3	outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004	
4	through 2008, and \$in outlays for the pe-	
5	riod of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.	
6	(H) COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY.—	
7	The House Committee on the Judiciary shall	
8	report changes in laws within its jurisdiction	
9	that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce	
10	the level of direct spending for that committee	
11	201,000,000 by \$ in outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$2,3/7,000,000	
12	in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004	
13	through 2008, and \$ in outlays for the pe-	
14	riod of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.	
15	(I) COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES.—The	
16	House Committee on Resources shall report	
17	changes in laws within its jurisdiction that pro-	
18	vide direct spending sufficient to reduce the	
19	level of direct spending for that committee by	
20	91,000,000 \$ in outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$ in	
21	outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004	
22	through 2008, and \$in outlays for the pe-	
23	riod of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.	
24	(J) COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE.—The House	
25	Committee on Science shall report changes in	
ب سد		



1	laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct	
2	spending sufficient to reduce the level of direct	
3	spending sufficient to reduce 2,000,000 spending for that committee by \$in out-	
4	lays for fiscal year 2004, \$ in outlays for	
5	the period of fiscal years 2004 through 2008,	
6	and \$in outlays for the period of fiscal	
7	years 2004 through 2013.	
8	(K) COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS.—	
9	The House Committee on Small Business shall	
10	report changes in laws within its jurisdiction	
11	that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce	
12	the level of direct spending for that committee	
13	by \$_\omega_ in outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$_\omega_	
14	in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004	
15	through 2008, and \$_\&\ in outlays for the pe-	
16	riod of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.	
17	(L) COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND	
18	INFRASTRUCTURE.—The House Committee on	
19	Transportation and Infrastructure shall report	
20	changes in laws within its jurisdiction that pro-	
21	vide direct spending sufficient to reduce the	
22	a rice to anding for that committee by	
23	level of direct spending for that community of direct spending for that community of the commu	
24	outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004	



	through 2008, and $\$$ in outlays for the pe-		
1	through 2008, and \$ in outlays for the F		
2	riod of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.		
3	(M) COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AF-		
4	FAIRS.—The House Committee on Veterans'		
5	Affairs shall report changes in laws within its		
6	jurisdiction that provide direct spending suffi-		
7	giant to reduce the level of direct spending for		
	in outlays for fiscal		
8	13,449,000,000 for the period of		
9	year 2004, \$ in outlays for the perfect 37,848,000,000 fiscal years 2004 through 2008, and \$ in		
10	fiscal years 2004 through 2004		
11	outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004		
12	through 2013.		
13	(N) COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.—		
14	The House Committee on Ways and Means		
15	shall report changes in laws within its jurisdic-		
	tion that provide direct spending sufficient to		
16	radice the level of direct spending for that com-		
17	mittee by \$in outlays for fiscal year 2004,		
18	73,579,000,000 \$ in outlays for the period of fiscal years		
19	\$ in outlays for the period of fiscal years and \$ in outlays for 292,553,000,000 in outlays for		
20	2004 through 2008, and \$ in outlays for		
21	the period of fiscal years 2004 through 2013.		

53 ×

TITLE III—RESERVE FUNDS 1 Subtitle A—Reserve Funds for Legislation Assumed in Budget Ag-3 gregates 4 SEC. 301. RESERVE FUND FOR MEDICAID. 5 In the House, if the Committee on Energy and Com-6 merce reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amend-7 ment thereto is offered or a conference report thereon is submitted. that— 9 (1) modernizes medicaid and the State Chil-10 dren's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), and 11 (2) reduces new budget authority and outlays 12 flowing therefrom by \$9,010,000,000 for fiscal years 13 2009 through 2013, 14 the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may in-15 crease allocations of new budget authority and outlays for 16 that committee (and make other appropriate changes in 17 budgetary aggregates) by the amount provided by that measure for that purpose, but not to exceed 20 \$3,258,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays for 21 fiscal year 2004 and \$8,944,000,000 in new budget au-

- 1 thority and outlays for the period of fiscal years 2004
- 2 through 2008.

3 SEC. 302. RESERVE FUND FOR BIOSHIELD.

- 4 In the House, if the appropriate committee of juris-
- 5 diction reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amend-
- $6\,$ ment thereto is offered or a conference report thereon is
- 7 submitted, that establishes a program to accelerate the re-
- 8 search, development, and purchase of biomedical threat
- 9 countermeasures and—
- 10 (1) such measure provides new budget authority
- 11 to carry out such program; or
- 12 (2) such measure authorizes discretionary new
- budget authority to carry out such program and the
- 14 Committee on Appropriations reports a bill or joint
- 15 resolution that provides new budget authority to
- carry out such program,
- 17 the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may revise
- 18 the allocations for the committee providing such new
- 19 budget authority, and other appropriate levels in this reso-
- 20 lution, by the amount provided for that purpose, but, in
- 21 the case of a measure described in paragraph (1), not to
- 22 exceed \$890,000,000 in new budget authority for fiscal
- 23 year 2004 and outlays flowing therefrom and
- 24 \$3,418,000,000 in new budget authority for the period of
- 25 fiscal years 2004 through 2008 and outlays flowing there-





- 1 from or, in the case of a measure described in paragraph
- 2 (2), not to exceed \$890,000,000 in new budget authority
- 3 for fiscal year 2004 and outlays flowing therefrom. Not-
- 4 withstanding the preceding sentence, the total such revi-
- 5 sion for fiscal year 2004 may not exceed \$890,000,000
- 6 in new budget authority and outlays flowing therefrom.

	SEC. 303. RESERVE FUND FOR RETIREMENT SECURITY
1	SEC. 303. RESERVE FORD FORD Whenever the Committee on Ways and Means of the House reports a bill
2	Whenever the Committee on ways and says and says and says are says
3	or joint resolution, or an amendment thereto is offered (in the House), or a
4	conference report thereon is submitted that enhances retirement security through
5	structural programmatic reform and the creation of personal retirement accounts,
6	provided that such accounts are funded from the taxes currently collected for the
7	purpose of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Program, the chairman
8	of the Committee on the Budget may—
	(1) increase the appropriate allocations and aggregates of new budget
9 10	authority and outlays by the amount of new budget authority provided by such
11	measure (and outlays flowing therefrom) for that purpose;
	(2) reduce the revenue aggregates by the amount of the revenue loss
12	resulting from that measure for that purpose; and
13	(3) make all other appropriate and conforming adjustments.
14	(3) Illake all other appear
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

57 *

	Subtitle K—Implementation of
1	Reserve Funds
2	SEC APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLO-
3	CATIONS AND AGGREGATES.
4	(a) APPLICATION.—Any adjustments of allocations
5	and aggregates made pursuant to this resolution shall—
6	(1) apply while that measure is under consider-
7	(1) apply write that measure is distributed as
8	ation;
9	(2) take effect upon the enactment of that
10	measure; and
11	(3) be published in the Congressional Record as
12	soon as practicable.
13	(b) Effect of Changed Allocations and Ag-
14	GREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting
15	from these adjustments shall be considered for the pur-
16	Subs Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as alloca-
17	tions and aggregates contained in this resolution.
18	() PURCET COMMITTEE DETERMINATIONS.—For
19	of this resolution—
20	(1) the levels of new budget authority, outlays,
23	direct spanding, new entitlement authority, revenues,



deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year or period of
2 fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of esti-
3 mates made by the Committee on the Budget; and
4 (2) such chairman may make any other nec-
5 essary adjustments to such levels to carry out this
6 resolution.
7 TITLE IV—BUDGET
ENFORCEMENT
9 SEC. 401. RESTRICTIONS ON ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS IN
THE HOUSE.
11 (a) IN GENERAL.—(1) In the House, except as pro-
12 vided in subsection (b), an advance appropriation may not
13 be reported in a bill or joint resolution making a general
14 appropriation or continuing appropriation, and may not
15 be in order as an amendment thereto.
16 (2) Managers on the part of the House may not agree
17 to a Senate amendment that would violate paragraph (1)
18 unless specific authority to agree to the amendment first
19 is given by the House by a separate vote with respect
20 thereto.
21 (b) EXCEPTION.—In the House, an advance appro-
22 priation may be provided for fiscal year 2005 for pro-
23 grams, projects, activities or accounts identified in the
24 joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying
25 this resolution under the heading "Accounts Identified for





- 1 Advance Appropriations" in an aggregate amount not to
- 2 exceed \$23,178,000,000 in new budget authority.
- 3 (c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "advance
- 4 appropriation" means any discretionary new budget au-
- 5 thority in a bill or joint resolution making general appro-
- 6 priations or continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2004
- 7 that first becomes available for any fiscal year after 2004.
- 8 SEC. 402. COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 13301 OF THE BUDG-
- 9 ET ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1990.
- 10 (a) IN GENERAL.—In the House, notwithstanding
- 11 section 302(a)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of
- 12 1974 and section 13301 of the Budget Enforcement Act
- 13 of 1990, the joint explanatory statement accompanying
- 14 the conference report on any concurrent resolution on the
- 15 budget shall include in its allocation under section 302(a)
- 16 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to the Committee
- 17 on Appropriations amounts for the discretionary adminis-
- 18 trative expenses of the Social Security Administration.
- 19 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—In the House, for purposes of
- 20 applying section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act
- 21 of 1974, estimates of the level of total new budget author-
- 22 ity and total outlays provided by a measure shall include
- 23 any discretionary amounts provided for the Social Security
- 24 Administration.



1	SEC. 463. ACTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302(b)(1) OF THE
2	CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT.
3	(a) COMPLIANCE When complying with Section 302(b)(1) of the
4	Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee on Appropriations of each
5	House shall consult with the Committee on Appropriations of the other House to
6	ensure that the allocation of budget outlays and new budget authority among each
7	Committee's subcommittees are identical.
8	(b) REPORT - The Committee on Appropriations of each House shall
9	report to its House when it determines that the report made by the Committee
10	pursuant to Section 301(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and the
11	report made by the Committee on Appropriations of the other House pursuant to
12	the same provision contain identical allocations of budget outlays and new budget
13	authority among each Committee's subcommittees.
14	(c) POINT OF ORDER – It shall not be in order in the House of
15	Representatives or the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, amendment,
16	motion, or conference report providing new discretionary budget authority for
17	Fiscal Year 2004 allocated to the Committee on Appropriations unless and until
18	the Committee on Appropriations of that House has made the report required
19	under paragraph (b) of this Section.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SEC. 464. CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES
1	RESULTING FROM REALISTIC SCORING OF MEASURES AFFECTING
2	
3	REVENUES. (a) Whenever the House considers a bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion
4	or conference report, including measures filed in compliance with Section 4 of
5	or conference report, including measures find in easily about the impact of
6	this Concurrent Resolution, that propose to change federal revenues the impact of
7	such measure on federal revenues shall be calculated by the Joint Committee on
8	Taxation in a manner that takes into account:
9	(1) the impact of the proposed revenue changes on:
10	i. Gross Domestic Product, including the growth rate for the
11	Gross Domestic Product;
12	ii. Total Domestic Employment;
13	iii. Gross Private Domestic Investment;
14	iv. General Price Index;
15	v. Interest Rates; and
16	vi. Other economic variables
17	(2) the impact on Federal Revenue of the changes in economic
18	variables analyzed under subpart (1) of this paragraph.
	(b) The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget may make any necessary
19	changes to allocations and aggregates in order to conform this Concurrent
20	Resolution with the determinations made by the Joint Committee on Taxation
21	
22	pursuant to paragraph (a) of this Section.
23	
24	
25	

	SEC. 465. PROMOTION OF ECONOMIC GROWTH AND COMPLIANCE
1	WITH SECTION 201(a) OF THIS CONCURRENT RESOLUTION.
2	With SECTION 201(a) 91 When reporting to the House reconciliation measures in compliance with Section
3	201(a) of this Concurrent Resolution, the Ways and Means Committee shall not
4	
5	report legislation, which:
6	(1) proposes to provide a graduated or phased-in reduction over time in:
7	(a) Individual income tax rates,
8	(b) Corporate tax rates, or
9	(c) The rate of taxes collected on the proceeds from investments,
10	including taxes collected on capital gains; or
11	(2) conditions any changes in tax law upon the achievement of some level
12	of:
13	(a) Federal Revenue,
	(b) Federal Surplus, or
14	(c) Level of Public Debt.
15	(c) Level of I make
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	~
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

!	SEC. 406. PROHIBITION ON USING REVENUE INCREASES TO COMPLY WITH
1	SEC. 406. PROHIBITION ON OBINGTE
2	BUDGET ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES. Budget allocations and Aggregates.
3	(a) For the purposes of enforcing this Concurrent Resolution in the House, the Chairman of
4	(a) For the purposes of each of the Committee on the Budget shall not take into account the provisions of any piece of the Committee on the Budget shall not take into account the provisions of any piece of
	to it dien which propose to increase revenue or offsetting collections if the net effect of the
5	bill is to increase the level of revenue or offsetting collections beyond the level assumed in
6	
7	this Concurrent Resolution.
8	(b) Paragraph (a) of this Section shall not apply to any provision of a piece of legislation that
9	proposes a new or increased fee for the receipt of a defined benefit or service (including
10	by the person or entity paying the fee.
	SEC. 707. CRITERIA FOR ADJUSTMENTS TO ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES
11	FROM USE OF THE "EMERGENCY" DESIGNATION.
12	FROM USE OF THE TEMEROLINE TO BE 15 (a) GUIDANCE In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency
1	(a) GUIDANCE In making a designation of a provider
1	requirement under section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency
1	Deficit Control Act of 1985, the committee report and any statement of managers
	6 accompanying that legislation shall analyze whether a proposed emergency requirement
	set out in paragraph (b) of this Section.
	(b) The term 'emergency' means a situation that— 1 wetlaws (or new budget authority and the outlays)
	(b) The term emergency (c) The term emergency (d) The term emergency (e) The term emergency (f) The term emergency (g) Th
	flowing therefrom) for the preventions or mitigation of, or response to, loss of life or
	21 property, or a threat to national security; and
	tionated, which means that the underlying situation is sudden, urgent,
	a and temporary
	23 unforeseen, and temporary.

	I House of Representatives to consider any
l	(c) IN GENERAL It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider any
2	bill, joint resolution, or conference report that contains an emergency designation under
	rection 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act
3 4	of 1985 unless the proposed emergency requirement meets the definition of an 'emergency'
5	set out in paragraph (b) of this Section.
	(d) ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES It shall not be in
6 7	order in the House of Representatives to consider a rule or order that waives the application
8	of paragraph (c) of this section.
9	(e) DISPOSITION OF POINTS OF ORDER IN THE HOUSE As disposition of a
10	point of order under paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) of this section, the Chair shall put the
11	question of consideration with respect to the proposition that is the subject of the point of
	order. A question of consideration under this section shall be debatable for 10 minutes by the
12	Member initiating the point of order and for 10 minutes by an opponent of the point of order,
13	Member initiating the point of order and for 10 initiation except one that the House adjourn
14	but shall otherwise be decided without intervening motion except one that the House adjourn
15	or that the Committee of the Whole rise, as the case may be.
16	(f) EFFECT ON AMENDMENT IN ORDER AS ORIGINAL TEXT IN THE
17	HOUSE.—
18	The disposition of the question of consideration under this section with respect to a bill or
19	joint resolution shall be considered also to determine the question of consideration under this
20	t made in order as original text
21	
22	$\underline{\mathcal{L}}$

TITLE V – SENSES OF CONGRESS

7	

2	TO DIGITION OF CERTAIN
3	SEC. 50]. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN
4	PROGRAMS TO ACHIEVE BUDGET GOALS.
5	(a) Congress finds that
6	(a) Congress finds that (1) The Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2004 should achieved the
7	following key goals:
8	(A) Ensure adequate funding is available for essential government programs, in
9	particular
1.0	(B) defense and homeland security;
10 11	(C)Foster greater economic growth and increased domestic employment by
	eliminating those provisions in the tax code (these provisions include, but are not
12	limited to, the double taxation of corporate dividends, the taxation of capital
13	gains, the limitations on expensing, the phased-in rather than immediate reduction
14	of personal income tax rates, and the alternative minimum tax) that discourage
15	
16	economic growth and job creation;
17	(D) Bring the Federal budget back into balance as soon as possible;
18	(2) The Federal Government spends billions of dollars each year on programs and
19	projects that are of marginal value to the country as a whole.
20	(3) Funding for these lower priority programs should be viewed in light of the goals of
2	this Concurrent Resolution and whether or not continued funding of these programs
2	advances or hinders the achievement of these goals.
2	(4) This Concurrent Resolution assumes that funding for many lower priority programs
2	in a saliminated in order increase funding for defense and homeland

1	security while at the same time controlling overall spending.
2	(b) It is the Sense of Congress that the following programs should be eliminated:
	(1) Title X Family Planning;
3	(2) Corporation for Public Broadcasting;
4	(3) National Endowment for the Arts;
5	(4) Legal Services Corporation; and
6	(5) A designed Technology Program.
7	SEC. SOL. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE ABOLISHMENT OF OBSOLETE
8	AGENCIES AND THE FEDERAL SUNSET ACT OF 2003
9	
10	(a) Congress finds that – (1) The National Commission on the Public Service's recent report, "Urgent Business
11	(1) The National Commission on the Faderal Government For The 21st Century," states that For America: Revitalizing The Federal Government For The 21st Century,"
12	For America: Revitalizing The Federal Government agencies that no coherent government missions are so widely dispersed among so many agencies that no coherent
13	government missions are so widely dispersed affects that fragmentation leaves many gaps,
14	management is possible. The report also states that fragmentation leaves many gaps,
15	
16	level of public health protection.
17	(2) According to the Commission, there are: more than 35 food safety laws administered by
18	12 different federal agencies; 541 clean air, water, and waste programs in 29 federal
1	agencies; 50 different programs to aid the homeless in eight different federal agencies; and
2	agencies, 30 different programs operated in nine federal agencies; and 90 early childhood 27 teen pregnancy programs operated in nine federal agencies; and 90 early childhood
2	area centtered among 11 federal agencies.
	and the General Accounting Office, there are 163 programs with a job training
	2 (3) According to the General 3 or employment function, 64 welfare programs of a similar nature, and more than 500 urban

1	aid programs. (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 342 economic development programs, but
2	(4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GAO also indicates 13 agencies coordinate 5 to (4) GA
3	(4) GAO also indicates 13 agents (4) there is very little or no coordination between them. This situation has created a there is very little or no coordination between them. This situation has created a
4	there is very little or no coordination to the serving Office reports that these programs
5	bureaucracy so complex that many local counting Office reports that these programs assistance. At the same time, the General Accounting Office reports that these programs
6	assistance. At the same time, the General assistance as nothing more than funnels for pork, have "no significant effect" on the
7	the \$307,000 to create each job.
8	the first state to implement a sunset
9	tates have some sort of sunset modification
	ing On the Federal level, the Officer
10	their legislative branch agencies. On the re-
11	
12	Liminated 44 agencies and saved the takens
13	s \$16.94 million for the Sunser Contains
1	estimates, for every dollar spent on the Sunset process, the State has received about \$42.50
1	estimates, for every dollar spent on the Standard
1	
1	(b) It is the Sense of Congress that
	(b) It is the Sense of Congress that The House of Representatives should adopt H.R. 1227, The Abolishment of Obsolete Agencies
	and Federal Sunset Act of 2003.
	.0
	21
	22
	23
	49